It begins with you: retaining nutrients and land value

An increasing number of Iowa corn and soybean growers value cover crops for their ability to improve soil health and reduce nutrient loss during late fall and early spring. Since the release of the 2013 Iowa Nutrient Reduction Strategy (NRS), interest in cover crops has expanded along with the need for management and technical information on this practice.

The Iowa NRS conservatively calls for cover crops on 20% of all row crop acres, or four million acres. To increase cover crop adoption, their benefits need to be heralded in multiple areas: water quality, soil health, and economics.

Let’s explore the importance of cover crops in retaining soil and nutrients.

**Cover crop benefits**
- reduce erosion even in no-till
- reduce nitrate leaching
- increase soil organic matter
- improve soil health, quality and productivity
- suppress winter annual and early season weeds
Economic value of cover crops project

A recent study, conducted by Iowa Learning Farms (ILF), Iowa State University Extension and Outreach, and Practical Farmers of Iowa (PFI), was to find the economic value of reduced soil erosion and reduced nutrient loss from cover crop use. Other studies have only considered additional input costs and yield impacts of cover crops without including the benefits of reduced soil loss and retention of soil nutrients. Using RUSLE2 soil loss estimates, an economic value was generated for reduced soil erosion on cover cropped fields.

This study used data from nine farmer partners who had participated in a ILF/PFI cereal rye cover crop replicated trial begun in 2009. Also included were at least two counties, randomly selected, from each of the crop reporting districts, shown in Figure 1. Data collected include different soil types, slopes, climate, and other factors that impact soil erosion potential.

The RUSLE2 soil loss calculations are shown in Table 1. In the farmer fields, there were separate calculations for areas with a cover crop in the rotation and without. The estimates are presented as soil loss in tons per acre, per year.

Figure 1: The locations for the economic cover crop study are in the shaded green counties on the Iowa map.

The economic value of soil

One way to find the short-term economic value of adding cover crops is through soil retained in the field. The Iowa Soils and Interpretive Database (ISPAID) Version 8 is the main data source for the analysis. It contains all Iowa soils, their characteristics, and the counties where they are located. The soils for each of the 20 counties (Figure 1) were analyzed individually.

The calculations of the cost of soil erosion uses three methods to generate an average cost of soil loss to the landowner: the change in value of the Corn Suitability Rating version 2 (CSR2), yield potential, and rental rate. These are the major factors influencing how buyers and sellers value land.

Within ISPAID, all Iowa soils are identified with a unique Soil Map Unit (SMU). An SMU includes a number representing the soil type, a letter indicating the soil’s slope, and a number designating the soil’s erosion phase. The erosion phase designation ranges from no number (no erosion, 7+ inches of topsoil) to 3 (severely
eroded, less than 3 inches of topsoil). The soil loss values assume that a change in erosion phase equals 4 inches of eroded soil, or 616 tons of soil lost per acre.

**Method One:** change in CSR2, an index to rate soils relative to one another—the higher the value, the higher the potential for row crop productivity. The overall average change in land value using the CSR2 method is $0.53/ton.

**Method Two:** soil erosion negatively impacts yield potential for a corn-soybean rotation. The ISPAID contains corn and soybean yield estimates for each SMU. For this study, the cost of soil erosion from reduced yields averaged $0.54/ton.

**Method Three:** uses the ISU Cash Rent Survey to estimate the change in land rental value due to soil erosion. The average cost of soil erosion is $0.38/ton due to a reduction in cash rent.

The estimated soil loss value averages from the three methods ranged from $0.39/ton to $0.72/ton, for an average $0.49/ton (Figure 2).

“I’ve been pleased with the results from cover crop use, especially in the spring of 2013. I had a couple hundred acres of them that really held the soil with all the rain. I’ve got some landlords that were really pleased with the results of that. So, I’ll be using cover crops in the future.”

—Mark Pokorny
Tama County

Figure 2: Average estimates of soil loss from three calculation methods.
The economic value of nutrients

Iowa topsoil is nutrient-rich as it contains the large amount of soil organic matter. Soils with higher organic matter have greater productivity due to higher nutrient content and availability. Plants rely on organic matter for nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium, and as a source for many macro- and micro-nutrients. For these reasons, animal manure fertilizer was used as a reference source for the nutrient value of soil lost to erosion.

To estimate the nutrient value, the average organic matter percentage for each county from the ISPAID was used. For the 20 counties in the study, the average nutrient value lost to erosion is $5.57 per ton.

Combining the average value of soil loss due to erosion ($0.49) and the lost nutrient value ($5.57), the estimate per ton of soil is $6.06 as shown in Table 1. For each ton of soil that is kept in place through conservation practices such as cover crops, $6.06 can be credited to that practice and help offset the cost of implementation.

Study conclusions

With the addition of cover crops to a corn-soybean cropping system, the largest impacts were found in fields and scenarios with the highest measured erosion rates. In fields with low erosion rates due to no-till, high residue crops, and moderate slopes, soil maintained due to cover crop usage had a small economic impact in terms of organic matter retained in the field. However, the methods used in this project captured only a portion of the short-term value of cover crops. Gully erosion suppression, nutrient uptake and subsequent release to the following crop, and long-term soil quality improvement should also be considered when capturing cover crop value.

The project scenarios were constructed using biomass data collected by Iowa Learning Farms and USDA-ARS National Laboratory for Agriculture and the Environment. The cover crop vegetative files available for use in RUSLE2 are being updated by the Natural Resources Conservation Service to reflect current research data to ensure future applications of the RUSLE2 model represent on-farm cover crop biomass growth and erosion reduction rates.

For more information

Contact your area Iowa State University Extension and Outreach Field Agronomist or Iowa Learning Farms for more information.

Iowa Learning Farms
4329 Elings Hall
Iowa State University
Ames, Iowa 50011-3080
515-294-5429

www.extension.iastate.edu/ilf

Iowa Learning Farms is funded by the Iowa Department of Agriculture and Land Stewardship through the Integrated Farm and Livestock Demonstration Program, in collaboration with Iowa Department of Natural Resources (USEPA Section 319), Natural Resources Conservation Service, Conservation Districts of Iowa, Iowa State University Extension and Outreach, Leopold Center for Sustainable Agriculture, Iowa Farm Bureau Federation and Iowa Water Center.
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